

Signature

REPLY/AMENDMENT FEE TRANSMITTAL

Attorney Docket No. 10-008

Application Number 10/759,182

Filing Date January 20, 2004

First Named Inventor DUFFIE, III et al.

Group Art Unit 2141

Date

April 24, 2006

AMOUNT ENCLOSED \$0 SERRAO, Ranodhi N **Examiner Name** FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 12/08/2004) Claims Highest Number Number CLAIMS AS Remaining Previously Paid Extra **AMENDED** Rate Calculations For After Amendment **TOTAL CLAIMS** (3) 35 35 0 Х \$0 \$50.00 = INDEPENDENT 4 0 \$0 Х \$200.00 = **CLAIMS** Since an Official Action set an original due date of____, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$120): 2 months (\$450); 3 months (\$1020); 4 months (\$1590); 5 months (\$2160)): If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$130) Total of above Calculations = \$0 Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28) TOTAL FEES DUE = \$0 (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0" (2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20". (4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0" (5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3". METHOD OF PAYMENT Check enclosed as payment. Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below. **AUTHORIZATION** If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any [X] overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to: Deposit Account No.: 50-1130 OrderNo.: (Client/Matter) 10-008 SUBMITTED BY: LEON R. TURKEVICH, ESQ. Leon R. Turkevich Typed Name Reg. No. 34,035



10-008

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE**

UNDER 37 CFR §1.116

DUFFIE, III et al.

:

Serial No.: 10/759,182 : Group Art Unit: 2141

Filed: January 20, 2004 : Examiner: SERRAO, Ranodhi N

For: ARRANGEMENT IN AN IP NODE FOR PRESERVING SECURITY-BASED

SEQUENCES BY ORDERING IP PACKETS ACCORDING TO QUALITY OF

SERVICE REQUIREMENTS PRIOR TO ENCRYPTION

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Official Action mailed February 23, 2006, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the telephonic interview between the undersigned and Examiner Serrao on April 24, 2006. The Examiner indicated during the telephonic interview that the lack of any initials on the Form PTO 1449 considered August 8, 2005 for the reference "RAMAKRISHNAN et al, 'The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP', Request for Comments: 3168, Network Working Group, September 2001" was an oversight. The Examiner agreed to issue, upon the next communication from the Patent Office, an updated Form PTO 1449 with the Examiner's initials indicating consideration of the cited document "RAMAKRISHNAN et al, 'The Addition of Explicit Congestion Notification (ECN) to IP', Request for Comments: 3168, Network Working Group, September

Response After Final filed Monday, April 24, 2006 Appln. No. 10/759,182 Page 1